1	A Again, sir, the readings were never numerical
2	readings. They were, from what I understood, just keeping a
3	record of the people that were on the air and if there was a
4	problem with the transmitter itself as if the light flashed
5	or as if the readings we took on the remote unit itself
6	showed freezing of the antenna up there. That was supposed

Q Okay. My question, sir, is what did you see that represented a transmitter reading for the Monticello station transmitter? What would you see?

to be noted and called in to Mr. Turro.

7

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 A The LED unit that I described earlier on today
  12 that just gave you the different readings for the different
  13 operations up there.
- 14 Q But for the transmitter reading, that would just be a light that was either turned on or turned off?
  - A It was the set of LEDs, but I don't remember if they also told you whether or not you were on or off. I know that they recorded the temperatures, the tower lights status, whether they were on or off, but I don't know -- I don't remember -- the exact set-up.
- Q Okay. If you read the temperature down in Sullivan County, would you consider that a transmitter reading?
- 24 A That was part of our readings to look at if we 25 needed -- for the actual readings for the log, sir, no.

- Okay. If you looked at a status light telling you
- whether the antenna froze or not, is that a transmitter
- 3 reading?
- A No, that would be a discrepancy on the log, that
- 5 there is a problem with it.
- 6 Q Okay. So, what is a transmitter reading?
- 7 A It --
- 8 O You have not told me yet, I do not think.
- 9 A In general, we were told to take readings. It
- 10 meant filling out the log and reporting if there was a
- 11 problem. There was no numerical value to put in.
- 12 O But, Mr. Luna, here is where I am hanging up.
- 13 Your statement, which you signed on October 22, 1997, the
- first page, Paragraph No. 3, says that you took transmitter
- readings for both units and I am trying to get you to tell
- me what you mean by transmitter readings. That is why I am
- 17 asking you these questions.
- 18 A Okay. And, again, it was to fill out the logs and
- if there were any discrepancies, to report those. Put those
- 20 at the bottom of the logs.
- 21 O So --
- 22 A In the Comments part of the log.
- 23 Q So, by transmitter readings, you mean you wrote
- 24 down the local temperature at the transmitter site --
- 25 A I did not write down the local temperature, no.

- 1 Q All right. You did not do that. Did you write
- 2 down something about the tower lights? Is that what a
- 3 transmitter reading meant to you?
- A If there was a problem with them, yes.
- 5 Q Okay.
- A We were supposed to note any problems.
- 7 O So, you might note a problem if the transmitter
- 8 light burned out or something, right? I mean, the light up
- on the tower. You might note something about that.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. That is what you mean by a transmitter
- 12 reading.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. So, if the tower light down in Monticello
- burned out, you would write that down as a transmitter
- 16 reading recording?
- 17 A It was a transmitter associated reading. It was
- 18 communicating with the Monticello unit. This was one of the
- things I was supposed to note while taking these readings.
- 20 Calling them readings, sir, is information put onto a log.
- 21 This is --
- Q Okay. I accept that. But I am still trying to
- find out what is a transmitter reading as opposed to a tower
- 24 light reading?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I think we plowed this over

- about five times, --
- 2 MR. C. NAFTALIN: All right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- and I think the answers you
- 4 have gotten are the answers you are going to get if you ask
- 5 this question all night long. Let's move on.
- MR. C. NAFTALIN: You are right, Your Honor, and I
- 7 apologize for going too far. I will move on.
- BY MR. C. NAFTALIN:
- 9 Q Mr. Luna, would you take a look at your August 9,
- 10 1995 statement, which is attached to the October 22, 1997
- 11 statement we have been looking at?
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: Which one? There are two
- 13 versions.
- MR. C. NAFTALIN: Actually, I do not care. As far
- as I know, they are the same.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: Let's all --
- MR. C. NAFTALIN: How about the nicer one? Well,
- 18 I do not care, Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Pages 238 and 239 --
- MR. C. NAFTALIN: Great.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- because that is easier to
- 22 read.
- MR. C. NAFTALIN: Good idea.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Where am I?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: He just wants you to look at

- those, and he is going to direct your attention to
- 2 something.
- BY MR. C. NAFTALIN:
- 4 Q If you would look at the first page where the date
- is, August 9, 1995, typed up at the top?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Let me just ask you. It says, "Under penalty of
- 8 perjury, we, the undersigned, make the following statement."
- 9 Who is we, Mr. Luna?
- 10 A We should be I.
- 11 Q Okay. It is a mistake?
- 12 A I'm sorry?
- Q Was that just a mistake?
- 14 A Yes.
- Now, is it safe to say that your August 9, 1995
- statement is describing or attempting to describe something
- 17 that you have called a deception?
- 18 A It was my understanding that it was.
- 19 Q Well, it is your statement. Were you trying to
- 20 describe a deception?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Let me explore your memory of this. On
- what date did this purported deception take place?
- 24 A It was sometime in early April. I don't remember
- 25 the exact date.

- 1 0 Would that be in the first half of the month of
- 2 April, to the best of your memory?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Could it have been in the first ten days of
- 5 April, to the best of your memory?
- 6 A I don't recall.
- 7 Q Maybe yes, maybe no?
- 8 A It may have been. I tend to think more towards
- 9 the middle of the month, though.
- 10 Q The middle of the month, but the first half of the
- 11 month? Is that right?
- A Within the first 15, 16 days of the month, yes.
- Q Okay. On this day in April, is it your testimony
- that you and Mr. Owen and Mr. Gahan were all working at the
- 15 Dumont studio?
- 16 A Yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q Mr. Turro called in on the telephone and he got
- 18 you on the telephone. Is that correct?
- 19 A No, he did not get me.
- Q Well, he eventually got to you?
- 21 A Eventually, yes.
- Q Okay. I mean, I do not really care who got it
- 23 first, but Mr. Turro starts speaking to you on the
- 24 telephone? Is that right?
- 25 A Yes.

- Okay. It is your testimony that he directed you
- to direct Mr. Owen and Mr. Gahan to take certain actions?
- 3 Is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O He asked you to direct Mr. Owen to go over to the
- 6 remote control unit for the Monticello station. Is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A That's right.
- 9 Q He asked you to direct Mr. Gahan to go over to the
- 10 remote control unit for the Fort Lee translator. Is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q That is the TC-8 unit, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- Okay. He had you stationed at the studio board
- 16 where the controls are for the program feed that goes out of
- 17 Dumont towards the Monticello station. Is that correct?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Okay. He directed you to go through the actions
- that are written up here in your August 9, 1995 statement?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Is that correct?
- 23 A Uh-huh.
- Q Did this scenario last for a couple of minutes, is
- 25 that right, approximately?

- 1 A From the time we started the conversation to the
- time it was initiated, it was maybe about ten minutes,
- 3 total.
- Q Okay. Outer limit, the whole of what you claim
- was a deception was about ten minutes perhaps?
- A Of the actual operation when we performed it, it
- 7 took not even a few seconds, a matter of seconds, to
- 8 complete it.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A Once the order was given to do it.
- 11 Q All right. But the ten minute or less period of
- time would have been when you first started talking to Mr.
- 13 Turro to the end of the purported deception?
- 14 A About that time, yes.
- 15 Q About that period of time. Okay. Let me set the
- stage so we all have it plain. You are holding the phone to
- your ear, right, and you have the other hand on the control
- 18 board to turn down volume, right?
- 19 A When I first spoke to Mr. Turro, no. I wasn't in
- that position yet.
- Q Well, did you reach that position?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. I want to go through the actual operation
- of what was supposed to be a deception, okay?
- 25 A Uh-huh.

- 1 Q All right. So you are standing at the control
- board, phone in one hand, the other hand on the control
- panel ready to turn down volume on the program feed, right?
- 4 A Uh-huh.
- 5 Q Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Is the answer yes?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Was it a speakerphone or
- 9 a regular phone?
- BY MR. C. NAFTALIN:
- 11 Q Speakerphone or regular phone, Mr. Luna?
- 12 A Regular phone.
- 13 Q Regular phone. So, you are holding an instrument
- 14 to your ear?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. The other hand is ready to turn down the
- 17 volume knob?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O By lower, we mean this is an audio thing now. It
- 20 is not off. It is lowering.
- 21 A Right. Right.
- 22 Q Adjusting downward, right?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. It is your testimony that William Owen is
- over there ready to push that button to shut down the

- 1 Monticello transmitter, right?
- 2 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. You state that Mr. Turro directed you to
- 4 have Mr. Gahan stand over at the TC-8 unit for the Fort Lee
- 5 station and hold down a button, right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Okay. Then he said something like, "Go," and you
- 8 had Mr. Owen push an off button, you had Mr. Gahan holding
- 9 down another button, and you turned down volume, right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Okay. Now, you say the purpose of this deception,
- as you understood it, was to deceive an FCC inspector into
- thinking you had remote control for the Monticello
- 14 transmitter, right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Okay. You also say the deception failed because
- the Monticello transmitter did not shut down?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 O How did you know it did not shut down?
- 20 A Because we didn't see an off air light strobe go
- 21 flash at that moment.
- Q Okay.
- 23 A And I don't -- I believe that's the only way that
- I knew. There may have been another way, but I don't want
- 25 to give you any further information because I don't know if

- 1 I'm correct.
- I know that there were other ways of knowing, but
- 3 I -- it's too vague in my memory to remember what they were,
- 4 if there was anything else.
- Okay. So, since you did not see the strobe light
- flash, that is what indicated to you that the deception
- 7 failed and the transmitter at Monticello did not shut down;
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. What color was that strobe light?
- 11 A It was clear lens on it, I believe.
- 12 Q No color, just blank?
- 13 A No, it was a Xenon strobe, almost like a
- 14 fluorescent type, and it was a clear -- I've worked with a
- 15 few of them. I don't know if it was a clear or an amber one
- 16 now. I don't know.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I don't remember.
- 19 Q Did you know at the time you were in a process, at
- least in your mind, of deceiving an FCC inspector?
- 21 A No, I did not.
- 22 O When did you figure that out?
- 23 A I don't know, maybe a month or so later.
- Q Okay. So, you did not know you were actively
- taking part in deceiving a federal official, did you, sir?

- 1 A No.
- 2 O Was this kind of scenario where you are on the
- 3 phone with one hand and the other hand is on the control
- 4 board and Mr. Owen is over at a control panel and Mr. Gahan
- is over at another control panel and you are telling him to
- 6 hold buttons and push buttons, was that something that
- 7 happened very often?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Was that very unusual?
- 10 A Not for Jerry. I mean, there were a lot of times
- where we had to do things on the spur of the moment and we
- all followed what he wanted us to do because we idolized the
- 13 quy. He was our boss. We all loved him. You know, we did
- 14 what Jerry said to do.
- Q Well, did you ever have times when it was you at
- the control panel with the phone and Mr. Owen over at the
- Monticello control and Mr. Gahan over at the Fort Lee
- 18 control that you remember?
- 19 A No.
- Q Okay. So, as far as you remember, it is the only
- 21 time you had the three of you guys doing this kind of thing
- 22 together?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. I believe you testified a few minutes ago,
- Mr. Luna, that you were able to look at the TC-8 remote

- 1 control unit for the Fort Lee translator and tell whether
- the transmitter was on or off; is that right? You could
- 3 tell its status; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes, yes.
- 5 Q Which light number told you that?
- A No light number that I can remember told you if
- you were off or at low power, but there was an LED -- again,
- 8 it may have been a four-digit LED set of lights -- that I
- 9 believe would read zero, zero, zero, zero across if you were
- not operating at full. I don't know if that's a definite.
- 11 Q That is the status light you talked about before
- that would either be on or off. Is that right?
- 13 A No.
- 0 Was there a status light that would tell you
- whether the Fort Lee transmitter was on or off?
- 16 A A status light itself, no.
- 17 O No. So it is your testimony that there was no
- 18 single light, just a Light, No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 8,
- 19 whatever? There was no single light that told you whether
- 20 the Fort Lee translator's transmitter was turned on or
- 21 turned off?
- 22 A No. To the best of my knowledge, I don't remember
- there being a single light that told us that.
- Q Okay. So you do not know if there was such a
- light? I mean, is that correct? You do not remember?

- 1 A I don't remember.
- 2 O You do not remember. Okay. Do you remember if
- 3 there was a single light on that TC-8 unit that tells you
- whether the Fort Lee translator's auxiliary transmitter was
- 5 turned on or off?
- A I do not have any knowledge of an auxiliary
- 7 transmitter.
- 8 Q Fair enough.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: How about a backup transmitter?
- 10 BY MR. C. NAFTALIN:
- 11 Q You do not know either way if there was a backup
- or an auxiliary transmitter?
- 13 A I don't know that there was, no.
- 14 Q You never went there and looked for yourself
- 15 anyway, right?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Okay. Now, I think you have testified that if
- 18 Light No. 5 was lit, then the Fort Lee translator was
- 19 receiving its audio over the air from Pomona, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- O Okay. Was there a light or any indication on the
- TC-8 unit for the Fort Lee translator when it was receiving
- 23 its audio over the air from Monticello?
- A No, sir, because at the time we were always
- 25 receiving within that chain from Monticello to Pomona and

- then translated again by Fort Lee.
- 2 Q Okay. So --
- 3 A That was -- Pomona was added into that chain to
- 4 help improve that whole chain of events that would lead to
- 5 the Fort Lee translator being able to pick up --
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A -- the better quality signal.
- 8 Q Before the Pomona translator came into the chain,
- 9 as you just said, did you have knowledge of whether you
- 10 could tell whether the --
- 11 A I --
- 12 Q Let me finish, please. -- whether the Fort Lee
- translator was receiving the Monticello signal or not?
- 14 A To the best of my knowledge, I believe it was also
- 15 that same No. 5 light.
  - 16 O The No. 5 light?
  - 17 A I don't think that was changed.
  - Okay. With respect again to your August 9, 1995,
  - 19 statement, Mr. Luna, I am going to ask you how it came
  - 20 about. You came to the very end of your employment with
  - Jukebox Radio approximately the second week of June of 1995,
  - 22 correct?
  - 23 A Correct.
  - 24 Q Is it correct that after that you were unemployed
- 25 for a period of time?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Then you took a job with Universal working at
- 3 WVNJ? Is that right?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q Did you start working at Universal approximately
- the beginning of August of 1995?
- 7 A I remember -- yes, I believe it was probably maybe
- 8 the second or third week, around that time.
- 9 Q Is it fair to say that you started your employment
- 10 for Universal at WVNJ at about the same time as you signed
- 11 the August 9, 1995, statement?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. Did Mr. Gaghan ask you to write a statement
- which resulted in the August 9, 1995, statement?
- 15 A He didn't ask me to write it, no.
- 16 Q Did Mr. Howard Warshaw ask you to write it?
- 17 A No.
- 18 O How did you come to write the August 9, 1995
- 19 statement?
- 20 A I did this basically to protect myself. My
- feeling was the first job experience I had in radio wasn't
- 22 -- it was actually a very good one for a long time. Towards
- the end it wasn't, and I had resumes prepared to go out to
- other stations and didn't want this to reflect poorly on me
- 25 if the question came up about this, if something like this

- 1 came out. This meaning these proceedings now.
- 2 Q So, it is your testimony that neither Howard or
- Miriam Warshaw, any of the Warshaw family, ever asked you to
- 4 write a statement ever?
  - 5 A They did not ask me to write it, no.
  - 6 Q Did they know you were writing a statement in
  - 7 August of 1995?
  - 8 A I don't know, sir.
  - 9 MR. C. NAFTALIN: Can we go off the record for
- just a moment, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Off the record.
- (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: We are back on the record.
- 14 BY MR. C. NAFTALIN:
- 15 Q Mr. Luna, you in fact did discuss your concerns
- 16 about your employment at Jukebox Radio with Howard and
- 17 Miriam Warshaw, did you not?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q And did they not at some point ask you whether you
- would be willing to provide such information to the FCC?
- 21 A I don't remember them asking to provide my
- statement, but they did want to know if I would be willing
- 23 to cooperate, yes.
- 24 Q In other words, they did ask if you would be
- willing to show your information to the FCC?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And was it not too long after that conversation
- 3 that you signed your August, 1995, statement?
- A It was around the same time.
- 5 Q And is it not true that Edith Zecca actually typed
- 6 your August 9, 1995, statement?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And she is the one that notarized your August 9,
- 9 1995, statement?
- 10 A Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q At the time that Edith Zecca typed up your
- 12 statement and notarized it, she was Howard Warshaw's
- 13 secretary, was she not, sir?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 O And working at WVNJ with Mr. Warshaw?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 O This is going back, but I do not remember what the
- answer was. Did Mr. Gaghan ask you to write the statement
- 19 that resulted in the August 9, 1995, statement?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did he know you were writing that statement, sir?
- 22 A Did he know I was writing it?
- 23 Q Yes.
- A I believe he did, yes.
- 25 Q And you knew that he was writing a statement at

- about the same time, did you not?
- A I don't recall, but we did discuss the incident.
- I don't recall if I knew at that point that he was writing
- 4 one.
- 5 O Let me be more specific, Mr. Luna. You are aware
- 6 that there is a statement from Mr. Gaghan dated August 10,
- 7 1995, are you not?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O We looked at it during the course of your
- 10 deposition. Is that not right?
- 11 A Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q You saw a copy of Mr. Gaghan's August 10, 1995,
- 13 statement as he wrote it by hand, did you not, sir, before
- 14 it was typed?
- 15 A I believe I did, yes.
- 16 Q So at least as of the time you saw Mr. Gaghan's
- handwritten statement before it was typed, you knew there
- was a statement in the works, right?
- 19 A I knew that he was considering doing something of
- 20 that nature, yes.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A But I didn't know if he was actually going to do
- 23 it.
- Q But you knew he was at least working on something
- 25 that could become a formal statement?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Mr. Gaghan's statement included a sketch of the
- front of a TC-8 unit, did it not, sir?
- 4 A I believe it did, yes.
- 5 Q And did you not help him remember some of the
- details that would be appropriate for that sketch?
- A He asked me at one point what it was, you know, or
- 8 what it looked like, yes.
- 9 Q He asked you some questions about the TC-8?
- 10 A Yes. He said he remembered one thing was
- incorrect, and I said I think what you're describing is
- 12 correct. He went and did the diagram. I assume he did the
- diagram because I didn't do the diagram for him.
- 14 O And it is your understanding that Mr. Gaghan's
- August 10, 1995, statement is discussing the same purported
- deception incident back in April of 1995?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did you testify earlier, Mr. Luna, that you had
- 19 the knowledge to go to the TC-8 remote control unit and
- 20 adjust the volume of the output at the Fort Lee translator?
- 21 A Got to the TC-8 unit to adjust the volume?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A No, I did not say that.
- Q Okay. That is fine. Mr. Luna, in your statement
- of October 22, 1997, you talk about an incident when you

- were in your car and you called in to the station and talked
- 2 to Chuck Garlin.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Do you recall that incident, sir?
- A Yes, I do. At the time I lived in Jersey City,
- 6 which was most of the time within the listening area of the
- 7 Fort Lee translator. I was driving home on the turnpike
- 8 from Dumont, and Chuck dedicated some songs to me over the
- 9 radio. The first time I heard it it wasn't strange. I just
- 10 left him. I was a pretty good friend of his there. I
- 11 talked to him quite often about things. He was an executive
- with AT&T, and he was trying to help me out in moving on and
- doing things. It wasn't a real surprise to hear the first
- 14 song.
- When I heard a second and a third song dedicated,
- 16 I thought it was strange. I pulled off the turnpike on my
- exit and used the first pay phone I came to after the exit
- to call and find out why he was doing this. He said because
- we're off the air in Monticello. I said are you sure? He
- 20 said yes. I have the light flashing, and I've had several
- 21 calls from listeners. I said to him that I couldn't see how
- that was because last I knew we were operating in Position 5
- 23 when I left.
- Q Mr. Luna, let me direct your attention to Page 4
- of your October 22, 1997, statement. You will see Paragraph

- 1 9 starts toward the end of that page.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q There you say, "I responded that that must be
- 4 impossible as I was listening to the Jukebox Radio audio
- 5 signal over the Fort Lee translator."
- 6 A Which I was.
- 7 O Right. In your statement here, are you not
- 8 reporting or testifying that you told Mr. Garlin that it was
- 9 impossible for you to be hearing Jukebox Radio coming off
- the air from Fort Lee if the Monticello station was off the
- 11 air?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Have you not also testified, Mr. Luna, that the
- 14 microwave was being used routinely to provide audio directly
- 15 between Dumont and Fort Lee?
- 16 A Yes. As I said, routinely didn't mean every day
- 17 seven days a week.
- 18 Q But you were in your car, right, at this time?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O So you could not see any indicator lights of any
- 21 nature in the Dumont studio, right?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q If the microwave was being used routinely to feed
- 24 audio between Dumont and Fort Lee, based upon your
- understanding of routine operation it would be totally

- 1 possible for this event to have occurred, would it not?
- 2 A It wouldn't be totally possible when I left the
- 3 studio that night. The last I saw it was in operation.
- 4 Q And when you say to someone on the phone that gee,
- that is impossible, that kind of says it all, does it not,
- 6 sir?
- 7 A You're putting a certain degree on my statement.
- 8 How can you read from text -- I don't know what the correct
- 9 word is -- whether or not I was saying it with a certain
- amount of urgency. My first instinct was to say it can't
- 11 be. It's impossible that it's not because when I left we
- 12 were fine.
- 13 O That is not what your statement says, sir. You
- said it was impossible because you were listening to Jukebox
- Radio coming in on 103.1 from Fort Lee.
- You did not say in your statement because some
- period of time earlier you saw a status light, right? That
- is not what your statement says, is it, sir?
- 19 A No, it doesn't say that.
- 20 You have also testified that you were able, by
- listening to the transmissions on 103.1 megahertz from Fort
- Lee, to easily tell the difference between the audio quality
- 23 if the Fort Lee translator was receiving audio from Pomona
- 24 as compared to your belief that it was receiving it from the
- 25 microwave?

- 1 A Can you repeat the question?
- 2 O Sure. To your knowledge, sir, sometimes the Fort
- 3 Lee translator was receiving its Jukebox Radio audio from
- 4 the Pomona translator, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And according to your testimony, sometimes the
- 7 Fort Lee translator was receiving Jukebox Radio audio over
- 8 this microwave, right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And have you not testified that if you were out
- somewhere and you were listening on 103.1, you could
- immediately tell the difference between the audio being
- delivered by Pomona as opposed to the audio being delivered
- 14 by the microwave?
- A Most of the time I could tell, yes.
- 16 O Most of the time? Not all of the time? Is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A Not all the time.
- 19 Q So to your mind, sir, the quality of the Pomona
- translator signal would have delivered audio that was, at
- least to your ear, noticeably less than what could have been
- delivered over a microwave?
- 23 A Did you say most of the time, sir?
- 24 O Most of the time.
- 25 A Yes.

1	MR. C. NAFTALIN: That is it. I am through, Your
2	Honor.
3	EXAMINATION BY THE JUDGE
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: You were talking about the Chuck
5	Garlin incident in Paragraph 9.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: You said that when you left the
8	station, the light was on on No. 5?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: And No. 5 indicated that the
11	Pomona translator was on the air?
12	THE WITNESS: The Pomona translator was on the air
13	regardless, but No. 5 would mean that the Fort Lee
14	translator was receiving the Pomona translator signal.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: So when you left the station and
16	tuned in to the station on your car radio, it was being fed
17	by Pomona?
18	THE WITNESS: No. I was monitoring 103.1, which
19	was Fort Lee.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: But Fort Lee was being supplied
21	by Pomona?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Supplied might not be the right
24	word, but it is getting its programming

THE WITNESS: It was receiving its --

25